

### ENDOTHELIAL DYSFUNCTION IN HYPERCHOLESTEROLEMIC RATS EFFECT OF BEZAFIBRATE ON ENDOTHELIUM-DERIVED SUBSTANCES. Omayma A Ragab Abozaid, Mohamed R.R.H., and Aml, H.M.

Biochemistry Department, Fac. Vet. Med., Benha University, Egypt.

#### A B S T R A C T

The present study was done on sixty male albino rats. They were randomly divided into four groups: the first group (n=10) was fed a normal diet (control). The second group (n=10) fed on normal diet and bezafibrate at a dose of 100 mg/kg B. wt. /day. The third group (n=10) was fed normal diet enriched with 1% cholesterol and 5% coconut oil (cholesterol-fed group). The fourth group (n=30) was fed same as the cholesterol-fed group but supplemented with bezafibrate at concentration 50, 100, 200 mg/kg B. wt. /day. Feeding was continued daily for 8 weeks. Biochemical investigation involved the measurement of total cholesterol, triacylglecerol, high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), apolipoprotein B, endothelin-1, histamine, nitric oxide (NO), IL-6, creatinine and alanine amino transferase (ALT). The results of the present study indicate that the total cholesterol, triacylglecerol, apolipoprotein B, and low density lipoprotein (LDL) concentration were significantly elevated in the cholesterol-fed rats compared with the bezafibrate and control rats. Plasma endothelin-1, histamine and IL-6 concentration were significantly elevated in the cholesterol-fed rats. Plasma nitric oxide and high density lipoprotein (HDL) concentration was significantly lowered in the cholesterol rats. Plasma endothelin-1, histamine and IL-6 concentration were significantly elevated in the cholesterol-fed rats compared with the bezafibrate and control rats. Plasma endothelin-1, histamine and IL-6 concentration were significantly elevated in the cholesterol-fed rats compared with the bezafibrate and control rats compared with the bezafibrate and control rats. Plasma endothelin-1, bistamine and IL-6 concentration were significantly elevated in the cholesterol-fed rats compared with the bezafibrate and control rats. Plasma nitric oxide and high density lipoprotein (HDL) concentration was significantly lowered in the cholesterol fed rats compared to those of bezafibrate treated rats.

KEY WORDS: Endothelial dysfunction, Hypercholesterolemia, Rat

(BVMJ 23(2): 24-33, 2012)

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

roblem of hypercholesterolemia is being of much interest because an elevated concentration of lipoproteins can develop chest pain, heart attack, and accelerate the development of atherosclerosis with its dual sequel of thrombosis and infraction. Hyperlipidemia, particularly hyper-cholesterolemia is a major cause of atherosclerosis and atherosclerosis associated conditions including coronary heart disease, ischemic cerebrovascular disease and peripheral vascular disease [1, 8]. In general, monotherapy with a pharmacologic agent should be attempted first, together with dietary adjustments. Combination treatment may be required for refractory severe hypertriglyceridemia, but should be

attempted only with caution and frequent monitoring of serum concentrations of creatine kinase, transaminase and creatinine.

Fibric acid derivatives such as bezafibrate are a mainstay of hypertriglyceridemia treatment. These fibrates can reduce plasma triglyceride levels by up to 50% and raise plasma HDL-C concentrations as much as 20% (although these percentages vary). The complex mechanism of action of fibrates includes modulation of the activity of peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-  $\alpha$  in the liver, with reduced hepatic secretion of VLDL and increased lipolysis of plasma triglycerides [16]. Fibrates reduce the quantity of small, dense LDL particles and increase HDL-C.

Accordingly, this work was designed evaluate the hypolipidemic effect of bezafibrate as hypolipidemic drugs on endothelium derived substances in addition the essential changes produced by lipid lowering drugs. Bezafibrate and used various amount to find most effective hypolipidemic amount with any side-effect or at least cause the minimum side-effect on other organs (liver, kidney and aorta)

The investigated Biochemical parameters were measurement of plasma total cholesterol, triacylglecerol, high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), apolipoprotein B, endothelin -1, histamine, nitric oxide (NO), IL-6, creatinine and alanine amino transferase (ALT).

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Animals and experimental design

Sixty male white albino rats; aged 6 weeks and weighting 140-150 gram were housed in separated metal cage, fresh and clean water was supply ad libitum. Rats were kept under constant and nutritional environmental condition throughout the experiment. Rats were left for one week before beginning of experiment for acclimatization [9]. Rats were divided into the following groups:

*Group* 1 (n=10) fed on normal diet and served as control group

*Group* 2 (n=10) fed on normal diet and Bezafibrate at a dose of 100 mg/kg/day.

*Group* 3 (n=40) fed on high fat diet for about (14 weeks) at the end of the periods. Rats were divided into 4 equal subgroups

Subgroup (3A): Hyperlipidemic: fed high fat diet only.

Subgroup (3B): Hyperlipidemic and Bezafibrate: fed high fat diet and were given Bezafibrate at a dose level of 50mg/kg/day

*Subgroup* (3C): Hyperlipidemic and Bezafibrate received high fat diet and were given Bezafibrate at a dose level 100 mg/kg/day.

*Subgroup* (3D): Hyperlipidemic an Bezafibrate: received high fat diet and were given Bezafibrate at a dose level 200 mg/kg/day.

## 2.2. Ration and additives

The animals were fed on constant ration throughout the course of the experiment in the form of pelleted diet (Atmeda for Investment Co., Dakahlia) in accordance to NRC [12]. Data of the present study revealed that hyperlipidemia, induced by continuous supplementation of high fat (coconut oil 2% wt/wt) and high cholesterol (1% wt/wt) (El-Gomhoria Co. for Trading Chemicals, Medicines and Medical Appliances, Egypt) diet.

# 2.3. *Preparation of bezafibrate*:

Bezafibrate powder (EIPICO Pharmaceutical, Egypt) was dissolved in 20% ethanol, where (0.7), (1.4) and (2.8) mg of Bezafibrate were dissolved firstly in 5 ml absolute alcohol, and then the alcoholic Bezafibrate solution was completed to 25 ml by distilled water. Each rat within the target group received 0.5 ml of the prepared solution which is equivalent to a dosage rate of 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg. Body weight, orally and once daily

## 2.4. Blood sampling:

Heparinized blood samples (20 IU/ ml) were collected from animals after 14 weeks for detection of hypercholestrolemai, then blood samples collected after 2, 4 and 6 weeks from the beginning of Bezafibrate administration. The samples were collected in the morning after overnight fasting from the retro-orbital plexus of eyes. In the dry, clean and screw capped tubes and plasma was separated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes. The clear plasma were aspirated carefully by Pasteur pipettes and transferred into dry, clean and sterile labeled tubes and kept in deep freezer at -20°c until used for subsequent biochemical analysis Then the following Biochemical analysis were performed for the following parameters: Total cholesterol, triacylglycerol (TG), high-density lipoproteins cholesterol (HDL-ch), lowdensity lipoproteins cholesterol (LDL-ch), apolipoprotein B , endothelin-1 , histamine, nitric oxide (NO), IL-6, creatinine and ALT.

## 2.5. Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS software version 15. The inter-group variation was measured by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Post Hoc LSD test. Results were expressed as mean± S.E. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level [18].

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSSION

Hypercholesterolemia, defined as excessively high plasma cholesterol levels, has emerged as a strong risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD). As high total cholesterol levels are considered to be a major independent risk factor for development of PVD and CAD. considerable attention has been directed toward evaluating the impact and mechanisms of cholesterol lowering therapies interventions and for cardiovascular outcomes [17].

The obtained results demonstrated in table 3) revealed (1.2. that rats on hyperlipidemic diet show a significant increase of plasma lipid profile concentration including total cholesterol, triglyceride, low density lipoprotein (LDL) apolipoprotein-B and (APOB), and significant decrease high density lipoprotein (HDL) compared with control group. An increased content of cholesterol in the liver despite the fact that the diet produced a cessation of endogenous cholesterol synthesis has been reported formerly [17]. The significant rise in serum triglycerides level, similar with that was reported previously by Huijgen et al. [10] who investigated those rats with high serum lipid concentration developed intimal lesions similar those of human to

atherosclerosis. The dramatic rise in serum total cholesterol (free cholesterol more than esterified cholesterol) observed in this study was due to increased up taken of exogenous cholesterol .This rise was mainly reflected on the cholesterol level of LDL fraction [11]. Also, These findings were in agreement with Ros [16] recorded that, both dietary fat and cholesterol changes the lipoprotein content of serum and affect specific classes of lipoprotein of LDL content (Apo B) and HDL and increased the content of cholesterol VLDL. Saturated fats mostly increase the concentration of cholesterol, LDL, and to a less extent VLDL levels. The increase in LDL levels produced by saturated fats seems to be related mainly to reduce catabolic rate. Moreover, Csont et al. [3] demonstrated that, elevated level of LDL, appearing in circulation upon feeding the the hyperlipidemic diet, is mainly derived from LDL is up taken by the receptor in liver an extra hepatic tissue. The production of LDL exceeds the capacity of LDL receptors i.e. efflux of cholesterol from the liver is more than influx. This could explain the elevated serum LDL level observed in our study.

The presented data in tables revealed that, Bezafibrate administration significant decrease in plasma total cholesterol after 2, 4 and 6 weeks, with significant decrease in Triglyceride, low density lipoprotein and apolipoprotein B with significant increase in high density lipoprotein after 2, 4 and 6 week, when compared to hyperlipidemic non-treated group. These findings were in agreement with Palmieri et al. [14] who that, Bezafibrate, secondfound а generation fibrate drug, is used clinically as hypolipidemic agent. Bezafibrate а treatment lowers serum triglyceride and cholesterol levels by more than 25% and 10%. respectively, in patients with hyperlipidemia. The Bezafibrate infarction prevention study demonstrated a relationship between the lipid-lowering effects of bezafibrate and a reduction of the risk of cardiovascular events in

dyslipidemic patients with coronary artery molecular disease. The mechanism underlying the triglyceride-reducing effect of bezafibrate is due in part to the induction of lipoprotein lipase activity mediated by the activation of peroxisome proliferatorsactivated receptor  $\alpha$  (PPAR $\alpha$ ), a member of the nuclear receptor super family. Also, the result are in accordance with Choonjans et al. [2] who found that, The mechanism of the action of Bezafibrate on lipoprotein metabolism appears to involve the activation of transcription factors, known as principally PPAR which PPAR. are expressed in the liver. PPAR modulate the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism through PPAR response elements. Specifically, PPAR-a activators stimulate the oxidation of fatty acids in the liver resulting in a reduced availability of fatty acids for triglyceride synthesis. Pennacchio Furthermore, et al. [15] demonstrated that, the activation of PPAR- $\alpha$  by Bezafibrate induces lipoprotein lipase in the liver, which plays a key role in triglyceride-rich lipoprotein catabolism. It also affects the binding and clearance in the liver of remnant lipoprotein particles by LDL-related receptors. The fibrate-induced increased lipoprotein catabolism may also be related to a PPAR mediated lower hepatic Apo CIII synthesis. It is well known that Apo CIII delays the catabolism of triglyceride rich lipoproteins, since it inhibits their binding to the endothelial surface and lipolysis by lipoprotein lipase and interferes with Apo E-mediated receptor clearance of remnant particles from plasma Finally, a new additional mechanism contributing to the fibrateinduced reduction in triglyceride richlipoproteins was also proposed is a recently lipoprotein that influences discovered plasma triglyceride levels. Specifically, the over expression of Apo AV results in a significant reduction in serum triglycerides human hepatocytes treated with fibrate display a significant induction of this lipoprotein, this effect is mediated by PPAR A-II activation. The present results were

affirmed by Vu-Dac et al. [23] who stated that, The improvement of serum lipolytic activity may account for the improvement in postprandial dyslipidaemia noted with The decrease fibrate in plasma triglyceride-rich concentrations of lipoproteins could be responsible for the decreased chlolesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) activity observed after Bezafibrate administration, leading to increased HDL-C levels and to reduced concentrations of small dense LDL particles Fibrates also induce the expression of the human Apo AI and Apo AII genes leading to elevated HDL levels Moreover, Fruchart et al. [6] demonstrated that, fibrates also affect HDL metabolism by other ways. In fact, the increase in HDL-C may be related to triglyceride-rich accelerate lipoprotein catabolism leading to an increase in pre-β1 HDL, which is the key acceptor of cholesterol for peripheral cells during reverse cholesterol transport. The obtained data showed that, in table (1, 2 and 3) rats on hyperlipidemic diet showed a significant decrease of nitric oxide (NO) and a significant increase endothelin-1 when compared with control group. The results of the present work agreed with Huijgen et al. [10] who demonstrated that, cholesterol has been shown to interrupt and alter vascular structure and function as it builds within the lining of the vascular wall, and can interfere with endothelial function leading to lesions, plaques, occlusion, and emboli, along with a reduction in healing, recovery, and appropriate management of ischemia/ reperfusion injury with specific relevance to the microcirculation. It has been clearly demonstrated that evolution of hypercholesterolemia is associated with endothelial cell dysfunction. Additionally, Jiang et al. [11] reported a near-complete abrogation in vascular NO bioavailability, elevated oxidant stress, and the creation of a strongly pro-inflammatory condition.

#### Effect of bezafibrate on endothelium-derived substances

|                                     | Cholesterol              | TG                     | HDL                 | LDL                     | Apo(B)              | NO                   | Endothelin-1            | Histamine              | IL-6                    | ALT                  | Creatinine             |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                                     | (mg/dl)                  | (mg/dl)                | (mg/dl)             | (mg/dl)                 | (mg/dl)             | (nmol/L)             | (ng/dl)                 | (ng/dl)                | (pg/ml)                 | (IU/ml)              | (mg/dl)                |
| Control                             | $147.8 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$ | $82.7{\pm}0.0^{a}$     | $40.1\pm0.0^{a}$    | $133.3 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$ | $105.5{\pm}0.0^d$   | $0.20{\pm}~0.01^{a}$ | $1.86{\pm}0.01^{d}$     | $0.60{\pm}0.00^d$      | 9.33±0.01 <sup>c</sup>  | $11.66 \pm 0.00^{b}$ | $0.85{\pm}0.02^d$      |
| Hyperlipidemic                      | $218.4{\pm}0.01^a$       | $198.5 \pm 0.0^{a}$    | $23.5{\pm}0.01^d$   | $207.4{\pm}0.0^a$       | $204.3{\pm}0.0^a$   | $0.17{\pm}0.01^a$    | $2.30{\pm}0.00^d$       | $2.20{\pm}0.00^a$      | $18.64 \pm 0.00^{a}$    | $20.00{\pm}0.01^a$   | $2.06{\pm}0.08^{a}$    |
| Control +Beza100                    | $150.7 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$ | $75.3{\pm}0.0^{a}$     | $35.2{\pm}0.00^{b}$ | $124.6 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$ | $108.3{\pm}0.0^d$   | $0.19{\pm}0.01^a$    | $1.38{\pm}0.00^{a}$     | $0.58{\pm}0.00^d$      | $9.37 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$ | $10.0^0 \pm 0.01^b$  | $0.85{\pm}0.02^d$      |
| Hyperlipidemic + Beza 50 mg/kg/day  | $194.8 {\pm} 0.01^{b}$   | $182.4{\pm}0.0^a$      | $23.1\pm0.0^d$      | $155.2 \pm 0.0^{\circ}$ | $167.2 \pm 0.0^{b}$ | $0.17{\pm}0.01^a$    | $1.01{\pm}0.00^{b}$     | $2.00{\pm}0.00^{b}$    | 18.20±0.01 <sup>a</sup> | $19.66 \pm 0.00^{a}$ | $1.93{\pm}0.02^{a}$    |
| Hyperlipidemic + Beza 100 mg/kg/day | $196.2 \pm 0.01^{b}$     | $335.8{\pm}0.0^a$      | $26.1\pm0.0^{c}$    | $158.2{\pm}0.0^{c,b}$   | $149.5{\pm}0.0^{c}$ | $0.18{\pm}0.00^{a}$  | $1.01{\pm}0.01^{b}$     | $2.00{\pm}0.00^{b}$    | $15.30{\pm}0.01^{ab}$   | $18.33 \pm 0.00^{a}$ | $1.70{\pm}0.05^{b}$    |
| Hyperlipidemic + Beza 200 mg/kg/day | $182.4{\pm}0.0^{ab}$     | 157.3±0.0 <sup>a</sup> | $33.1 \pm 0.0^{b}$  | 144±0.0 <sup>a,c</sup>  | $111.0\pm0.0^d$     | $0.19{\pm}0.00^{a}$  | $1.01 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$ | 1.70±0.01 <sup>c</sup> | $14.25{\pm}0.00^{b}$    | $16.00 \pm 0.00^{a}$ | 1.40±0.09 <sup>c</sup> |

Table (1): Effect of two weeks administration of Bezafibrate on some biochemical blood parameters of hyperlipidemic rats

Values (mean ± S.E.) with different superscripts within each column indicate statistical significant differences between groups at 0.05

#### Table 2 Effect of four weeks administration of Bezafibrate on some biochemical blood parameters of hyperlipidemic rats

| Cholesterol             | TG   | HDL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ( ) 11                  |  | HDL  | LDL  | Apo(B)   | NO   | Endothelin-1   | Histamine  | IL-6   | ALT  | Creatinine   |
| (mg/dl)                 | (mg/dl)  | (mg/dl)  | (mg/dl)  | (mg/dl)  | (nmol/L)   | (ng/dl)  | (ng/dl)  | (pg/ml)  | (IU/ml)  | (mg/dl)  |
| $152.4\pm0.0^{\circ}$   | $89.8{\pm}0.0^{a}$   | $43.3{\pm}0.0^a$                                     | $133.2\pm0.0^{cd}$                                   | $102.2\pm0.0^{c}$                                    | $0.20{\pm}0.01^{a}$                                  | $1.30{\pm}0.01^{d}$                                  | $0.60{\pm}0.02^{e}$                                  | $9.85{\pm}0.00^d$                                    | $11.60\pm0.00^{d}$                                   | $0.85{\pm}0.02^{d}$                                  |
| $246.8{\pm}0.1^a$       | $207.8\pm0.0^{a}$  | $19.8{\pm}0.0^{e}$                                   | $21.6\pm0.0^{a}$                                     | $207.4{\pm}0.0^a$                                    | $0.17{\pm}0.01^d$                                    | 2.90±0.01 <sup>a</sup>                               | $2.30{\pm}0.07^{a}$                                  | $20.61 \pm 0.00^{a}$                                 | $25.66{\pm}0.00^{ab}$                                | 2.27±0.01 <sup>a</sup>                               |
| $148.0{\pm}0.1^{\circ}$ | $71.3 \pm 0.0^{a}$   | $40.5{\pm}0.0^{b}$                                   | $126.9{\pm}0.0^d$                                    | $106.1{\pm}0.0^{c}$                                  | $0.24{\pm}0.01^{a}$                                  | $1.20{\pm}0.01^{d}$                                  | $0.50{\pm}0.01^{e}$                                  | $8.65{\pm}0.00^d$                                    | $19.00 \pm 0.01^{bc}$                                | $0.84{\pm}0.06^d$                                    |
| $186.8 \pm 0.0^{b}$     | $168.2 \pm 0.0^{a}$  | $28.1{\pm}0.0^d$                                     | $153 \pm 0.0^{b}$                                    | $139.5{\pm}0.0^{b}$                                  | $0.18{\pm}0.00^{cd}$                                 | $1.00{\pm}0.00^{b}$                                  | $2.00{\pm}0.01^{b}$                                  | $15.30 \pm 0.01^{b}$                                 | $20.00 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$                             | $1.80{\pm}0.06^{b}$                                  |
| $183.0 \pm 0.0^{b}$     | $168.2 \pm 0.0^{a}$  | $30.2{\pm}0.0^d$                                     | $143.4{\pm}0.0^{cd}$                                 | $134.3{\pm}0.0^{b}$                                  | $0.19{\pm}0.00^{c}$                                  | $1.80{\pm}0.00^{\circ}$                              | $1.60{\pm}0.07^{c}$                                  | $14.25{\pm}0.00^{bc}$                                | $17.66 \pm 0.00^{bc}$                                | 1.50±0.01 <sup>c</sup>                               |
| $167.0 \pm 0.0^{bc}$    | $137.5 \pm 0.0^{a}$  | $34.9{\pm}0.0^{c}$                                   | $133.5{\pm}0.0^{cd}$                                 | $113.6\pm0.0^{c}$                                    | $0.23{\pm}0.00^{\text{b}}$                           | 1.20±0.01°   | $1.50{\pm}0.01^d$                                    | 11.96±0.01°  | 19.33±0.01 <sup>a</sup>                              | 1.30±0.09 <sup>c</sup>                               |
|                         | $152.4\pm0.0^{c}$ $246.8\pm0.1^{a}$ $148.0\pm0.1^{c}$ $186.8\pm0.0^{b}$ $183.0\pm0.0^{b}$ $167.0\pm0.0^{bc}$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

Values (mean ± S.E.) with different superscripts within each column indicate statistical significant differences between groups at 0.05

#### Table 3 Effect of six weeks administration of Bezafibrate on some biochemical blood parameters of hyperlipidemic rats

|                                     | Cholesterol             | TG                  | HDL                | LDL                    | Apo(B)                 | NO                      | Endothelin-1          | Histamine             | IL-6                     | ALT                      | Creatinine            |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                     | (mg/dl)                 | (mg/dl)             | (mg/dl)            | (mg/dl)                | (mg/dl)                | (nmol/L)                | (ng/dl)               | (ng/dl)               | (pg/ml)                  | (IU/ml)                  | (mg/dl)               |
| Control                             | $145.0\pm0.0^{cd}$      | $84.7 \pm 0.0^{d}$  | $41.3 \pm 0.0^{a}$ | 127.3±0.0 <sup>c</sup> | $101.1\pm0.0^{d}$      | $0.20 \pm 0.01^{b}$     | $1.40{\pm}0.00^{a}$   | $0.60 \pm 0.02^{e}$   | 9.85±0.30 <sup>cd</sup>  | $11.66 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$ | $0.85 \pm 0.02^{e}$   |
| Hyperlipidemic                      | $260.8 \pm 0.0^{a}$     | $230.3 \pm 0.0^{a}$ | $18.2 \pm 0.0^{a}$ | $224.8\pm0.0^{a}$      | $209.2\pm0.0^{a}$      | $0.14 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$ | $2.20\pm0.00^{\circ}$ | $2.40{\pm}0.09^{a}$   | $22.34 \pm 0.17^{a}$     | $25.66 \pm 0.00^{b}$     | $2.27 \pm 0.00^{a}$   |
| Control +Beza100                    | $124.0\pm0.0^{d}$       | $65.7 \pm 0.0^{e}$  | $42.3 \pm 0.0^{a}$ | 125.9±0.0°             | $105.1\pm0.0^{\circ}$  | $0.24{\pm}0.01^{a}$     | $2.00\pm0.00^{\circ}$ | $0.60\pm0.01^{e}$     | $8.70 \pm 0.24^{d}$      | 13.66±0.01°              | $0.85 \pm 0.02^{e}$   |
| Hyperlipidemic + Beza 50 mg/kg/day  | $173.8 \pm 0.0^{b}$     | $155.3 \pm 0.0^{b}$ | $33.2 \pm 0.0^{a}$ | $143.4\pm0.0^{b}$      | 136.3±0.0 <sup>b</sup> | $0.19 \pm 0.01^{b}$     | $1.40\pm0.01^{a}$     | $1.50 \pm 0.04^{b}$   | 14.25±0.11 <sup>b</sup>  | $16.66 \pm 0.00^{b}$     | $1.40{\pm}0.09^{b}$   |
| Hyperlipidemic + Beza 100 mg/kg/day | 161.6±0.0 <sup>bc</sup> | $148.1 \pm 0.0^{b}$ | $35.6 \pm 0.0^{a}$ | $133.5 \pm 0.0^{bc}$   | 128.6±0.0 <sup>c</sup> | $0.20 \pm 0.00^{b}$     | $1.60 \pm 0.00^{bc}$  | $1.30\pm0.01^{\circ}$ | 11.96±0.06 <sup>bc</sup> | 19.33±0.01 <sup>a</sup>  | $1.23\pm0.00^{\circ}$ |
| Hyperlipidemic + Beza 200 mg/kg/day | $142.4\pm0.0^{cd}$      | $127.0\pm0.0^{c}$   | $37.9\pm0.0^{a}$   | 124.6±0.0 <sup>c</sup> | $115.3 \pm 0.0^{d}$    | $0.23 \pm 0.00^{a}$     | $1.20\pm0.00^{\circ}$ | $1.10\pm0.02^{d}$     | 10.13±0.01 <sup>cd</sup> | 13.33±0.01 <sup>c</sup>  | $1.40\pm0.01^{d}$     |
|                                     |                         |                     | 1                  | 1.00 1                 | 0.05                   |                         |                       |                       |                          |                          |                       |

Values (mean ± S.E.) with different superscripts within each column indicate statistical significant differences between groups at 0.05

Such symptoms can culminate in profound impairments vascular to reactivity development of atherosclerotic lesions is often preceded by abnormalities in vascular wall reactivity. Also, Verma et al. [22] reported that, in many ways, this alteration in arterial function stems from changes in endothelial cells, highlighting one of many have re-defined examples that the endothelium as a dynamic, biologicallyactive organ rather than just a passive arterial lining. The importance of the endothelium in vessel reactivity and abnormalities subsequent of arterial responses has fostered the use of the term endothelial dysfunction. Importantly. endothelial dysfunction includes alterations of the functional roles in anv the endothelium plays in vivo, including maintenance of normal tone, limiting protecting against thrombosis, and leukocyte adhesion. Reactivity of the arterial wall is controlled in part by biomechanical inputs, including blood flow and blood pressure. Vessel wall tone is a carefully controlled parameter regulated in part by ECs and their production and regulation of opposing signals. Endothelin-1 (ET-1) promotes blood vessel constriction, as evident with the decrease in blood flow after endothelial ET-1 release. ET-1 also induces smooth muscle cells (SMCs) proliferation. These **ET-1** responses are countered by endothelial release of nitric oxide (NO), which stimulates vasodilatation, thus increasing blood flow. Endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) is the key enzymatic step in producing NO, a secondary messenger produced by EC that can inhibit NFkB activation and attenuate endothelial inflammatory responses, including adhesion expression. Current molecule models suggest that cardiovascular risk factors, including mechanical forces like hypertension and stress, shift the balance between these opposing forces, increasing ET-1 production and decreasing NO production. resulting increased in

vasoconstriction. abnormal vasomotor responses and promotion of atherosclerosis. The current data revealed that, Bezafibrate administration caused significant decrease in endothelin-1 after 2, 4 and 6 weeks, and significant increase in nitric oxide concentration after 2, 4 and 6 week when compared to hyperlipidemic non treated group. Similarly Delerive et al. [4] showed that. peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARa) had effects on both countering endothelial limbs these involving ET-1 and NO. By inhibiting the AP-1 signaling pathway noted earlier, PPARa activation has been reported to inhibit thrombin-mediated induction of ET-1. In addition, in EC the induction of ET-1 release by oxidized low-density lipoprotein (ox-LDL) is inhibited by PPARa agonists. In terms of NO, PPARa agonists reportedly enhance eNOS expression and NO release .The same results were observed by Omura et al. [13] in their study excessive NO production may enhance formation of peroxynitrite, possibly promoting oxidative stress PPARα involvement in other NO pathways has also been suggested, including inhibition of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) expression by murine effects on ET-1 expression and NO release suggest that PPARa activation may confer a vasoprotective effect to the endothelium. limiting one component of endothelial dysfunction. These responses mav contribute to improvements in vascular reactivity reported in hypertriglyceridemic human subjects in response to fibrate treatment.

The present results revealed that, rats fed on hyperlipidemic diet showed a significant increase in (IL-6) and histamine as compared with control group. This results agreed with Stokes et al. [20] demonstrated that, hypercholesterolemia leads to an inflammatory response within the microvasculature, reflected by endothelial cell activation, leukocyte recruitment, rolling and adherence, as well as platelet activation and adhesion characterized. Platelet activation can initiate leukocyte recruitment to lesion prone areas as evidenced by an increased surface CD40 expression indicative of cellular activation in hypercholesterolemia. The decreased bioavailability of in NO hypercholesterolemia also diminishes the antiinflammatory properties of the endothelial cell, permitting the activity of growth factors on the cell surface and platelet activation to act as chemo attractants to parade of inflammatory events. Leukocytes begin to roll along the lumen and adhere to the cell wall, extravagating due to an increase in vascular permeability, and residing within the initial space. Monocyte protein-1 chemo tactic (MCP-1) interleukin-6 and histamine both have been be important in hyperfound to cholesterolemia patients, acting to increase monocyte recruitment and adherence which leads to wall remodeling. Also, Stapleton et al. [19] stated that macrophages, derived from monocytes, begin to accumulate LDL LDL and oxidized (oxLDL) which develops into foam cells between the basal lamina of the endothelium and the smooth muscle layer. These foam cells lead to the production of numerous inflammatory and oxidative stress markers. cytokines. chemokine, and growth factors which aggravate the balance of endothelial equilibrium leading to vascular dysfunction. The presented data revealed that. Bezafibrate administration caused significant decreased in interlukine-6 and histamine concentration after 2, 4 and 6 week when compared with hyperlipidemic group the results of the present work agreed with previous studies. These findings were in agreement with Gervois et al. [7] reported an increased plasma concentration of, bezafibrate was found to reduce IL-6and histamine an effect being attributable to the activation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)- $\alpha$  with a consequent reduction of NF- $\kappa\beta$  activation. These discrepancies may be due to the differences in inflammatory status at baseline, duration

of the study period, or the lack of control group.

Current results showed that rats on hyperlipidemic diet show a significant increase ALT activity and creatinine compared with control group. These findings were in agreement with Dohmen et al. [5] recorded that the activity of ALT was significantly highly increased in hyperlipidemic diet. Also of kidney functions (creatinine) highly significantly increased, these results may be due to increase in LDL level which is regulated by observed after receptors. We LDL bezafibrate administration in tables (1, 2 and 3) revealed that, a significant increase in ALT activity and creatinine concentration after 2, 4 and 6 week when compared to hyperlipidemic non treated group. The results of the present work agreed with Dohmen et al. [5].

The results in the current study showed that Bezafibrate administration caused Bezafibrate is a member of such fibrate class agents work as a ligand of PPARa, and also shows a potent triglyceridelowering effect. The elevation of aminotransferase levels has been frequently observed after the administration of Bezafibrate and this phenomenon is considered to be nonpathological because Bezafibrate activates gene expression the of the aminotransferases. Recently, fibrate has only used not for been hypercholesterolemia but also for primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC). Also, the obtained are in accordance with Tsimihodimos et al. [21] recorded that, there is convincing evidence that fibrates, with the possible exception of gemfibrozil, significantly increase serum urea and creatinine levels. A significant increase in serum creatinine levels occurred after Bezafibrate (by 12%, and ciprofibrate (by 17%, administration another study [46] increase (by 6%) in serum creatinine after taking Bezafibrates. The increase in serum urea and creatinine levels were evident at the' first follow-up (mean: 6 weeks of therapy) and remained unchanged or slightly elevated during a follow-up period of 8 months (3-18 months).

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

These data suggest that Bezafibrate may act as a mixed blessing drug; therefore it must be used carefully and under physician supervision to get its therapeutic benefits and guard against its adverse

### **6. REFERENCES**

- 1. Attia, H.M.M.F. 2002. Alteration in lipid pattern of laying hens fed on some lipotropic added to the ration. *M.V.Sc., Fac. Vet. Med., Zagazig University (Benha Branch).*
- Choonjans. K., Peinado-Onsurbe, J., Lefebvre, A.M., Heyman, R.A, Briggs, M., and, Deeb, S., 1996. PPAR alpha and PPARgamma activators direct a distinct tissue specific transcriptional response
- Csont T., Balogh G., Csonka C., Boros I., Horva´ th I., Vigh L., and Ferdinandy, P., 2002. Hyperlipidemia Induced by High Cholesterol Diet Inhibits Heat Shock Response in Rat Hearts. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 290:1535-1538.
- 4. Delerive, P., Martin-Nizard, F., Chinetti, G., Trottein, F., Fruchart, J.C., Najib, J., Duriez, P., Staels, B., 1999. and Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor activators inhibit thrombininduced endothelin-1 production in human vascular endothelial cells by activator inhibiting the protein-1 signaling pathway, Circ. Res. 85: 394-402.
- Dohmen, K., Mizuta, T., Nakamuta, M., Shimohashi, N., Ishibashi, H., and Yamamoto, K. 2004. Fibrate for patients with asymptomatic primary biliary cirrhosis. *World J Gastroenterol* 10: 894-898.
- 6. Fruchart, J.C. 2001. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-activation and high-density lipoprotein metabolism. *Am. J. Cardiol* **88**: 24-29.

- Gervois. P., Kleemann, R., Pilon. A., Percevault, F., Koenig, W., and Staels, B. 2004. Global suppression of IL6induced acute phase response gene expression after chronic in vivo treatment with the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α activator. J. Biol. Chem. 279:16154-16160.
- 8. Hardman, J.G. and Limbird, L.E., 2001. Goodman and Gilman's the pharmacological basis of therapeutics. 10<sup>th</sup> Ed. MeGraw-Hill publishers, USA.
- 9. Hellal, A.M., 1997. Some biochemical effects of L-carnitine on plasma lipoproteins fed with normal and high cholesterol diets, M.V.Sc., *Fac. Vet. Med., Benha University.*
- Huijgen, R., Vissers, M.N., Defesche, J.C., Lansberg, P.J., Kastelein, J.J., Hutten, B.A. 2008. Familial hypercholesterolemia: current treatment and advances in management. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther.* 6: 567-581.
- 11. Jiang, F., Gibson, A.P., Dusting, G.J., 2001. Endothelial dysfunction induced by oxidized low-density lipoproteins in isolated mouse aorta: a comparison with apolipoprotein-E deficient mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **424**:141-114.
- 12. NRC (Nutrient Requirements of Laboratory animals), fourth revised edition 1995. Subcommittee on Laboratory Animal Nutrition, National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- Omura, M., Kobayashi, S., Mizukami, Y., Mogami, K., Todoroki- Ikeda, N., Miyake, T., and Matsuzak, M. 2001. Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) induces Ca (2+)-independent activation and translocation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase and endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation, *FEBS Lett.* 487: 361-366.
- Palmieri, V., Wachtell, K., and Gerdts, E. 2001. Left ventricular function and hemodyanic features of inappropriate left ventricular apanesehy in patients with systemic hypertension: The LIFE Study. Am. Heart J. 141:784-791.
- 15. Pennacchio, L.A., Olivier, M., Hubacek, J.A., Cohen, J.C., Cox, D.R. and Fruchart, J.C. 2001. An apolipoprotein influencing triglycerides in humans and

mice revealed by comparative sequencing. *Science* **294**: 169-173.

- 16. Ros, E. 2000. Intestinal absorption of TC and Tg. Dietary and pharmacological inhibition to reduce cardiovascular risk. *Atherosclerosis.* **151**: 357-379.
- 17. Selvin, E. and Erlinger, T.P., 2004. Prevalence of and risk factors for peripheral arterialdisease in the United States: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999-2000. *Circulation* **110**: 738-743.
- Snedecor and Cochran 1969. Statistical methode 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. The Iowa State Univ., Press, Iowa, USA
- 19. Stapleton, P.A., Goodwill, A.G., James, M.E., D'Audiffret, A.C., Frisbee, J.C., 2010. Differential impact of familial hypercholesterolemia and combined hyperlipidemia on vascular wall and network remodeling in mice. *Microcirculation* **17**: 47-58.

- Stokes, K.Y., Calahan, L., Russell, J.M., Gurwara, S., Granger, D.N., 2006. Role of platelets in hypercholesterolemiainduced leukocyte recruitment and arteriolar dysfunction. *Microcirculation* 13: 377-388.
- Tsimihodimos, V., Kakafika, A., and Elisaf, M. 2001. Fibrate treatment can increase serum creatinine levels. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 16: 1301.
- 22. Verma, S., Buchanan, M. R., and Anderson, T. J., 2003. Endothelial function testing as a biomarker of vascular disease. *Circulation* **108**: 2054-2059
- Vu-Dac, N. Gervois, P., Jakel, H., Nowak, M., Bauge, E., and Dehondt, H. 2003. Apolipoprotein A5, a crucial determinant of plasma triglyceride levels, is highly responsive to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha activators. *J Biol Chem.* 278: 17982.



الخلل الوظيفى فى الاوعية الدموية الناتج عن أرتفاع الكوليسترول وتأثير مادة البيزافيبرات على المواد الناتجة من بطانة الاوعية الدموية أميمة أحمد رجب أبو زيد، محمد رجائى حسنين ، أمل حنفى محمود سليمان قسم الكيمياء الحيوية - كلية الطب البيطري بمشتهر - جامعة بنها.

### الملخص العربى

يعتبر ارتفاع نسبة الكوليستيرول في الدم وما يصاحبه من أمراض للقلب مثل تصلب الشرابين وارتفاع ضغط الدم سمة من سمات العصر الحديث ومع التطور الحديث والسريع للحياة الذي غاب فيه ممارسة الرياضة مع الإقبال على تناول الوجبات السريعة الدسمة والتي تحتوى على نسبة عالية من الدهون والتي بدورها نسبب ارتفاع نسبة الكوليستيرول في الدم. هدفت الدراسة الحالية إلى توضيح التحويلات البيوكيماوية والهيستولوجية المحتملة ف جانب أيض الدهون وجانب وظائف الاعضاء والتي قد تتتج عن المعالجة المستمرة بالبيزافيبرات للفئران البيضاء الصحيحة وتلك التي تتميز بزيادة نسبة الدهون ف دمها عن طريق تغذيتها على مدى طويل بعليقة غنية بالدهون ومضافا إليها الكوليسترول. لقد أجريت هذه الدراسة على عدد (60) ستون من ذكور الفئران البيضاء والتي تتراوح أعمارها بين شهر وشهر ونصف وأوزانها بين 140–150 جرام، وضعت في أقفاص حديدية مفصولة وتعايشت في نفس الظروف البيئية وظروف التربية والتغذية لمدة أسبوع قبل بدأ التجربة حيث تم تغذيتها على نفس نوع العليقة دون تمييز قسمت إلى مجموعتين: المجموعة الأولى: احتوت على مجموعتين فرعيتين بعدد (20) من ذكور الفئران البيضاء قسمت إلى: مجموعة ضابطة سلبية. مجموعة ضابطة إيجابية تم تجريعها البيزافيبرات 100مج/ كجم /اليوم. المجموعة الثانية: احتوت على أربع مجاميع فرعية بعدد (40) من ذكور الفئران البيضاء. قسمت إلى مجموعة الكوليستيرول. مجموعة الكوليستيرول + 50مج/كجم/اليوم البيزافيبرات. مجموعة الكوليستيرول + 100مج/ كجم /اليوم البيزافيبرات. مجموعة الكوليستيرول+ 200مج/ كجم /اليوم البيزافيبرات. تم تجميع العينات على ثلاث فترات بعد الأسبوع الثاني والرابع والسادس من بداية تتاول البيزافيبرات وقد أوضحت الدراسة ما يلي: حدث نقص معنوي في كل من الكوليستيرول الكلي، الكوليستيرول المنخفض الكثافة في حين حدث زيادة معنوية في الكوليستيرول العالي الكثافة و في تركيز النيترك أو كسيد وجد أيضا نقص معنوي في( APO-B) وتركيز الجليسريدات الثلاثية و في (endothelin–1) والهيستامين و إنترلوكين 6 و في تركيز أنزيم الALT و في تركيز الكريانتين بالمقارنة بمجموعة الكولسترول.

(مجلة بنها للعلوم الطبية البيطرية: عدد 23(2)، ديسمبر 2012: 24-33)